

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received April 7, 2021 Accepted September 13, 2021 Published Online December 21, 2021

CORRESPONDENCE

Ramazan Yirci

ryirci@gmail.com

Achramanmaras Sutcuimam
University, Faculty of Education,
Department of Educational Sciences,
46050, Kahramanmaras, Turkey.

AUTHOR DETAILS

Additional information about the authors is available at the end of the article.

How to cite: Yirci, R., Karakose, T., & Malkoc, N. (2021). Examining the Influence of Cyberbullying Perpetration and Victimization among High School Adolescents—Associations with Gender and Grade Level. Educational Process: International Journal, 10(4): 55-72.





OPEN ACCESS

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Examining the Influence of Cyberbullying Perpetration and Victimization among High School Adolescents—Associations with Gender and Grade Level

Ramazan Yirci · Turgut Karakose · Nedim Malkoc

ABSTRACT

Background/purpose – The present study examined adolescent students' cyberbullying behavior and being a victim of cyberbullying specifically exploring potential differences by gender and grade level.

Materials/methods — Based on a cross-sectional survey on 311 adolescent students continuing their high school education in secondary education institutions in Turkey, the study reported their findings as with differences between gender and grade level in cyberbullying and cyber victimization. The research data was obtained by using the Likert-type "Cyberbully/Cyber-victim Scale." Together with statistics, t-test, ANOVA and Tukey's b test were applied the analysis of the collected data.

Results – The study's results suggest a significant difference in the level of students displaying cyberbullying behaviors and being exposed to them within virtual platforms with regards to the gender variable. Male students display cyberbullying behaviors and are also exposed to them in virtual platforms more than female students. In addition, the study's results reveal that female students tend to rumor (gossip) more than male students on virtual platforms. There was also a significant difference in the level of participants performing and being exposed to cyberbullying behaviors on virtual platforms with regards to the grade level variable. According to the analyzed data, students from higher grade levels both perform cyberbullying behaviors more and are more frequently exposed to cyberbullying than students from lower grade levels. In other words, in higher grade levels, students' performance of, and exposure levels to, cyberbullying was found to increase.

Conclusion — This study's findings emphasize the importance of developing prevention and intervention strategies must be developed in order to fight cyberbullying in schools when investigating the level of high school adolescents' bullying of their peers, and the level of those exposed to cyberbullying.

Keywords – Cyberbullying, cyber victimization, social media, adolescents, high school students, internet aggression.

To link to this article – https://dx.doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2021.104.4

1. INTRODUCTION

In today's information era, the communication modes and learning experiences of individuals of different age groups are influenced greatly by information and communication technologies. For example; technological devices such as computers and mobile phones that have access to the Internet have enabled social networks between individuals to expand rapidly. These electronic media have become the "must have" in social life, especially for today's teenagers (Barbovschi, Macháčková, & Ólafsson, 2015; Gross, 2004; Hinduja & Patchin, 2009; Karakose, 2007; Kowalski & Limber, 2012; Palfrey & Gasser, 2008; Saricam & Sahin, 2015; Shariff, 2009).

Communication opportunities, which are ever-increasing in the virtual world, have also correspondingly increased the environments where cyberbullying has become prevalent. Together with the benefits of information and communication technologies, there are also disadvantages facing individuals as users due to the risks and threats that virtual platforms can present. Some mobile phone and Internet users have turned these electronic media into a means of threatening others. These electronic and information media can be simply applied in the form of a cyber-threat in the hands of malignant users. This is a real and present threat risk with today's virtual platforms, and affects users across all age groups. Together with making life easier for many individuals, information and communication technology usage can result in undesired outcomes due to the bad intentions of those wishing to harm or disturb, threaten, or harass others. These behaviors, which are means of cyberbullying, cause the target person or persons in question to feel insulted or threatened, and as a result disrupted through the abuse of information and communication technologies.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Adolescent behavior is shaped by the relations and events taking place in more than one social environment (e.g., at home, school, or in cyberspace), hence the socioecological perspective provides a directive framework in order to increase or decrease the risk of cyberbullying (Hamm et al., 2015). Different from traditional bullying, cyberbullying has taken on new features with the expansion of information and communication technologies in daily life. Cyberbullying, or electronic bullying, has been frequently discussed in scientific studies, with considerable research having been conducted on the issue. It has been stated that cyberbullying is performed mostly through computers and mobile phones, with aggressors sending humiliating, disparaging, or slur-containing messages and emails to their target victim. Similarly, messages and photographic communications of this kind can be sent by aggressors to their victims through mobile phones. When considered from this point of view, cyberbullying includes a level of intentional psychological violence, with the intent to cause harm to their victim (Dehue, Bolman, & Völlink, 2008; Gozler, 2021; Karakose, 2015; Patchin & Hinduja, 2006; Shieh & Demirkol, 2014).

When we look at the literature, many definitions for cyberbullying have been put forward. For example, cyberbullying is defined as: harassing individuals of the opposite party by sending harmful and threatening messages through information and communication technologies; the intention of taking revenge within the virtual platform or hurting the feelings of others by using ones' technological power; the intentional and harmful use of electronic media by a person or groups against other people; sending harmful and unpleasant messages and photos to other people through the Internet and other media (Inan, Namin, Pogrund, & Jones, 2016; Patchin & Hinduja, 2006; Smith et al., 2008;

Vandebosch & Van Cleemput, 2008; Ybarra & Mitchell, 2004). Moreover, Kowalski, Giumetti, Schroeder, and Lattanner (2014) defined cyberbullying as using electronic communication technologies to bully others. According to these definitions, cyberbullying can be defined as deliberate and aggressive behavior towards an individual or group using digital devices such as mobile phone, computer and tablet. In this context, cyberbullying is conducted intentionally, and includes psychological violence and threat, causing the receiving party to feel victimized due to the hostility and intention of the act.

Cyberbullying causes serious negative mental and psychosocial consequences for children and teenagers, and in such cases, cyberbullying becomes a critical public health problem (Kwan et al., 2020). Because cyberbullying emerges as a public health concern, the scope of cyberbullying is widened to include certain other new behaviors. Also, cyberbullying can be used as a means of scaring and suppressing people in virtual environments (Buelga, Postigo, Martínez-Ferrer, Cava, & Ortega-Barón, 2020). Cyberbullying can negatively affect the motivation of individuals, their relationships with their environment and even their life satisfaction. In addition, victims of cyberbullying can often experience psychological problems. Feelings of sadness, anger, stress, anxiety, fear, shame, as well as temper or rage are the main problems caused. Cyberbullying, which is observed mostly between peer students, is one of the primary issues that needs tackling in today's schools. Studies on this issue suggest that students who are exposed to cyberbullying experience feelings of sadness, hopelessness, and anxiety, and due to these negative emotional impacts students may often feel scared to attend school (Beran & Li, 2005; Dehue et al., 2008; Flaspohler, Elfstrom, Vanderzee, Sink, & Birchmeier, 2009; Karakose, Yirci, Kocabas, 2014; Ortega, Elipe, Mora-Merchán, Calmaestra, & Vega, 2009; Sourander et al., 2010).

Cyberbullying is an issue observed commonly amongst teenagers. One reason for this is due to teenagers easily becoming a part of risk-inherent situations. During this period, teenagers do not think through what kind of potential outcomes a behavior may result in (Bhat, 2008). Cyberbullying can source serious levels of apprehension felt by many adolescents, as well as by their parents, and within the school environment. It is not surprising, therefore, that adolescents can be exposed to cyberbullying and similar peerrelated problems due to their ease of access to technological developments such as use of the Internet, smartphones, instant messaging, chat rooms and Internet cafes (Lee, Hong, Yoon, Peguero, & Seok, 2018). There are various factors that are associated with the causes of cyberbullying in schools. However, together with increases in the amount of time that children and teenagers spend using the Internet, the probability of them facing cyberbullying in some form or other also increases (Gardner, 2010). In a study conducted by Celen, Çelik, and Şeferoğlu (2016), it was emphasized that cyberbullying might form a serious threat to children, and that an awareness must be created so that children can use the Internet safely and with conscious awareness of the risks that they may be inadvertently faced with. Another factor that causes students to perform cyberbullying is peer influence. Teenage students tend to regard bullies as being stronger individuals, and may therefore consider them as their role models. For a teenager, being on the "same side" as a bully symbolizes a personal gain in strength and reputation (Salmivalli, 2010). The fact that teenagers want to be like each other, imitate each other, or adopt behaviors with regards to bullying, makes it all the more difficult and challenging for schools to prevent cyberbullying.

From a review of the literature, it is understood that cyberbullying/victimization cases are timely study fields in which cyberbullying is analyzed based on many variables such as gender, school grade, socioeconomic level, and Internet addiction. As a variable, gender has

become a primary focus of many studies because of its relation to cyberbullying. In studies examining the relations between gender and cyberbullying, it is apparent that male children are more involved in the cyberbullying of others when compared to female children (Cicioglu, 2014; Serin, 2012). On the other hand, some studies have reported the opposite, with females cyberbullying others more than males (Keith & Martin, 2005; Semerci, 2015). In addition, various studies have concluded that no meaningful difference was found between male and female children exhibiting cyberbullying behaviors (Ozdemir & Akar, 2011; Peker, Eroğlu, & Çitemel, 2012; Yaman, Karaküllah, & Dilmaç, 2013).

Researchers have published various results from their studies on the relation between school grade and bullying behaviors. For instance, Williams and Guerra (2007) concluded that a sound relation was found between grade and cyberbullying, whilst Ayas and Horzum (2012) found that the levels of displaying cyberbullying behaviors varied due to primary school students' grades, and that the level of cyberbullying increased in line with the grade of the students. In contrast, Ozdemir and Akar (2011) revealed no remarkable relation being found between students' grade and instances of cyberbullying.

It is very difficult for students who face cyberbullying to deal with the perpetrators of such behaviors. The reason for this is that, even if student victims remain physically distant from cyberbullies at school, they are unable to prevent violence aimed at them within virtual platforms, and cyber-aggressors can therefore continue to send disturbing or threatening messages through mobile phones or computers to their target victim. In addition, it has been identified that if students witness cyberbullying in their class, they exhibit behaviors such as "trying to stop such actions" or "helping the victim of such actions" (Kalender, Keser, & Tugun, 2019; Kildow, 2008). In addition, individuals who are exposed to cyberbullying can be ostracized by their friends, and may even break off friendships due to feelings of sadness, stress or the anxiety they may be experiencing. As a result of feeling hopeless about their situation, they may refrain from seeking help from their families or from their school. This intense feeling of loneliness that victimized students undergo can cause them to lose their self-confidence and self-respect. As a result, psychological problems and depressive behaviors can be observed in students having been exposed to instances of cyberbullying (Hinduja & Patchin, 2008; Raskauskas & Stoltz, 2007; Schneider, O'Donnell, Stueve, & Coulter, 2012).

As a result, the academic achievement levels of students exposed to cyberbullying decline, and their physical and mental health can become negatively affected. As cyberbullying cannot easily be prevented, the perpetrators of such behaviors can form extensive gangs, linking-up with others who are prone to violence in performing acts of cyberbullying (Aykac & Bilgin, 2019; Görzig & Frumkin, 2013; Hinduja & Patchin, 2008; Kocabas & Karakose, 2009; Yirci, Karakose, Uygun, & Ozdemir, 2016). Thus, in order for the academic and personal development of students to progress, cyberbullying in schools should, in fact must, be prevented. It is therefore important to prepare appropriate psychoeducational programs to prevent instances of cyberbullying, and to develop preventive measures aimed at decreasing such deviant behaviors (Musu-Gillette, Hansen, Chandler, & Snyder, 2015). However, although the majority of teachers and school administrators are aware that traditional bullying causes major problems within today's schools, few seem aware that students are also threatened and harassed through acts of cyberbullying within today's widespread virtual environments (Beran & Li, 2005; Karakose, 2008; Karakose, Yirci, Uygun, & Ozdemir, 2016). Cyberbullying among adolescents affects many students, and presents a serious problem that needs to be urgently dealt with by school administrations and educators (Hinduja & Patchin, 2009). Studies regarding this issue express that efforts to fight cyberbullying must be made through the cooperative efforts of school administrators, teachers, students, and also the students' parents (Chan & Wong, 2015; Willard, 2007; Karakose, Polat, & Papadakis, 2021). When adolescents exposed to cyberbullying experience feelings of helplessness, they may seek assistance or social support from others. They would primarily expect to receive social support from their friends, families, as well as from their school's administrators and teachers (Inselöz & Uçanok, 2013). For this reason, school administrators and teachers have a significant responsibility and duty to prevent cyberbullying in schools. Teachers and school administrators can play a crucial role in the prevention of cyberbullying, and need to immediately realize the importance of the issue and act more consciously with regards to cyberbullying. If insufficient action is taken, cyberbullying will no longer remain an issue in schools; inevitably, due to its violent nature, cyberbullying also has a secondary negative impact on other students as well as teachers. Therefore, in order to prevent or reduce cyberbullying in schools, the reasons for and outcomes of cyberbullying should be determined and the necessary deterrent precautions adopted.

When the literature is reviewed, it can be seen that numerous studies have been conducted with high school adolescents on cyberbullying. Likewise, almost all studies conducted in Turkey on this issue have been conducted within general high schools (Anatolian High Schools, Science High Schools, Social Science High Schools, or Vocational High Schools). Therefore, rather than general high schools, the current study was particularly conducted with students attending Sports High Schools in Turkey in order to explore the phenomenon of cyberbullying and the victims of cyberbullying in such schools. Student admission to sports high schools in Turkey differs from that of general high schools, which are conducted through standard multiple-choice or written tests prepared by the Ministry of National Education, with the sole aim to assess the students' knowledge level. However, Sports High Schools in Turkey select their students via performance levels and skills-based testing, which forms a significant difference between sports high schools and general high schools in Turkey. It may be considered that sports high school adolescents should have assimilated the spirit of sportsmanship, developed their critical thinking ability, as well as the ability to balance the emotions involved in both winning and of accepting defeat. As a result, they should be more self-confident and act according to higher ethical codes of conduct (Acat, 2019; Altındas, 2009; Cakici, 2010). Therefore, it is deemed to be of considerable interest to examine the level of cyberbullying and cyber-victimization prevalent within this type of high school. It is assumed that sports helps students to be raised as respectful but free spirited, self-defensive and open-minded individuals, and as such presents an interesting opportunity to analyze the levels of cyberbullying in Turkey's sports high schools.

In the this study, the level of displaying cyberbullying behaviors and being a victim of cyberbullying amongst high school adolescents will be investigated in terms of several variables. Suggestions will also be developed so as to raise awareness in schools about the issue of cyberbullying and to help prevent instances of cyberbullying/victimization in Turkish high schools. The aim of the current study is to examine the level of high school adolescents' bullying of their peers and the level of those exposed to cyberbullying. In line with this purpose, answers to the following research questions were sought:

- RQ1. What are the levels of perpetrating cyberbullying behaviors among high school adolescents within virtual platforms?
- RQ2. Do the levels of perpetrating cyberbullying behaviors among high school adolescents differ by gender and grade level?
- RQ3. What are the cyberbullying exposure levels of high school adolescents within virtual platforms?
- RQ4. Do cyberbullying exposure levels of high school adolescents differ according to gender and grade level?

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Participants

The universe of the research consists of 337 students attending sports high schools in Kutahya/Usak in Turkey, and who were studying in their ninth, 10th, 11^{th} , or 12th grades. Simple random sampling technique was used to select students who formed the research population. The representative sample of the population consists of 315 high school adolescents who volunteered to take part in the study. Prior to conducting the study, the consent of the participants was obtained (according to research ethics) after explaining the scope of the study. Then, the students who would participate in the study were determined and requested to complete the questionnaires. Following a preliminary review, four of the participants' submitted questionnaires were excluded from the analysis due to lack of data, and therefore statistical analysis was conducted on a total of 311 completed questionnaires. According to the demographics of the study, 47% of the participants are female (n = 146) and 53% are male (n = 165). With respect to the students' school grade level, 25% were in Grade 9 (n = 78), 24% in Grade 10 (n = 75), 25% in Grade 11 (n = 77), and 26% in Grade 12 (n = 81).

3.2. Instruments and Procedures

This study was designed based on the screening model. The screening model is a study approach that aims to describe a past or previous event exactly the way in which it happened (Karasar, 1999). Accordingly, the high school adolescents' opinions on their state of cyberbullying and cyberbullying victimization were described according to their past and previous states. The study data was collected using the Likert-type "Cyberbully, Cyber-victim Scale," as developed by Ayas and Horzum (2010). The scale consists of two sub-dimensions, "cyberbully" and "cyber-victim," and contains a total of 19 items. Some examples of items of the scale used are: "Taking unauthorized and inappropriate images; conveying sexual messages to others and disturbing them; threatening via internet or phone; spreading negative information about others in a virtual platforms etc". The 5-point, Likert-type scale uses the following anchors; 5 = always, 4 = often, 3 = sometimes, 2 = rarely, and 1 = never. Also, under the "cyberbully" and "cyber-victim" dimensions are three sub-dimensions, titled "sexual cyberbullying," "prevention and harming," and "rumor," that describe the type of bullying performed in the virtual environment. With a total of 19 items, 2 dimensions and 3 sub-dimensions, the scale was tested separately for each dimension. According to the results of Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) for the cyberbully dimension, the coherence index was determined as being $X^2 = 508.86$ (SD = 146, p. = .00), $X^2 / SD = 3.47$ RMSEA = .074, GFI = .89, AGFI = .86, CFI = .90, NFI = .87, and NNFI = .88. the coherence index resulting from CFA for the cyber-victim dimension was $X^2 = 459.39$ (SD = 149, p = .00), $X^2/SD = 3.08$, RMSEA = .068, GFI = .90, AGFI = .88, CFI = .93, NFI = .90, and NNFI = .92. It was not required to make any corrections in terms of applied CFA modification suggestions. As a result of the CFA for the cyberbully/victim scale, the model was found to be theoretically and statistically appropriate (Ayas & Horzum, 2010). While the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the cyberbully sub-dimension of the scale for test repetition, test reliability was found to be .75, it was .77 for the cyber-victim sub-dimension, and .82 for the whole scale. These calculated values indicate that the scales used were in the spectrum of acceptable values for psychometric scales.

3.3. Data analysis

Personal information was utilized in analyzing the collected data, with descriptive statistics used in determining participant opinions, *t*-test was conducted in paired comparison of the participants' opinions, and ANOVA test and Tukey's b test were conducted for comparisons of three or more elements. The study's data analysis was conducted using IBM's SPSS software, and with a significance level accepted of .05.

4. RESULTS

The analysis results of the study were evaluated separately for the cyberbully and cybervictim sub-dimensions of the applied scale. Whether or not any significant differences exist between the students' opinions regarding the two dimensions with relation to their gender or grade level variables were then determined.

4.1. Results for the cyberbully dimension

Results of the *t*-test conducted on the *cyberbully* dimension for the variable of gender are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Level of displaying cyberballying behaviors decorating to gender						
Factor	Gender	n	$\overline{\overline{\mathbf{X}}}$	SD	t	р
Coveral auborbulluing	Female	146	3.11	.486	2.050	.002*
Sexual cyberbullying	Male	165	4.03	.695	-3.059	.002
Prevention & harming	Female	146	3.59	.610	575	.531
	Male	165	3.62	.627	.575	.551
Dumor	Female	146	4.31	.659	2 521	.006*
Rumor	Male	165	3.74	.666	-2.531	.006
Cyberbullying Behavior	Female	146	3.22	.573	-2.598	.004*
(Total)	Male	165	3.88	.514	-2.596	.004

 Table 1. Level of displaying cyberbullying behaviors according to gender

p < .05 significant

When Table 1 is examined, a significant difference can be seen between the levels of performing cyberbullying behaviors (t = -2.598, p ≤ .05) with regards to "sexual cyberbullying" (t = -3.059, p ≤ .05) and "rumor" (t = -2.531, p ≤ .05) factors in virtual platforms; but there was no significant difference found with regards to "prevention and harming" behaviors (t = .575, t > .05). According to these results, male students (t = 4.03) perform "sexual cyberbullying" behaviors within virtual platforms more than female students (t = 3.11). In addition, it can be seen that female students (t = 4.31) were observed to perform "rumor" behaviors within virtual platforms more than male students (t = 3.74). Where cyberbullying behaviors as a total of the scale items are considered, it can be seen that male students (t = 3.88) perform cyberbullying behaviors more than female students (t = 3.22).

Table 2. Level of displaying cyberbullying behaviors according to grade

Factor	Grade	n	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	SD	F	р
Sexual	9	78	3.51	.695		
	10	75	3.94	.533	13.976	.001*
cyberbullying	11	77	3.71	.612	15.976	.001
	12	81	3.75	.799		
	9	78	3.75	.506		
Prevention &	10	75	3.34	.907	- 14.006	.002*
harming	11	77	3.78	.758	14.000	.002
	12	81	4.06	.719		
Rumor	9	78	3.78	.429		
	10	75	3.95	.302	3.701	.142
	11	77	3.80	.297	5.701	.142
	12	81	3.42	.421		
Cyberbullying Behavior (Total)	9	78	3.29	.503		
	10	75	3.13	.542	2 712	246
	11	77	3.15	.521	2.712	.246
	12	81	3.10	.564		

 $p \le .05$ significant

The levels of displaying cyberbullying behaviors of high school adolescents were compared through an ANOVA test, with the results presented in Table 2. The study's results suggest that the existence of a significant difference between "sexual cyberbullying" in virtual platforms (F = 13.976, $p \le .05$) and "prevention and harming" (F = 14.006, $p \le .05$), according to the grade level of the students (F = 13.976, $p \le .05$). Tukey's b test was used in order to determine by which grade level this difference was caused. According to the analysis results, Grade 10 students ($\overline{x} = 3.94$) perform "sexual cyberbullying" behaviors in virtual platforms more than Grade 9 students ($\overline{x} = 3.51$), and Grade 12 students ($\overline{x} = 4.06$) perform more "prevention and harming" behaviors than Grade 10 students ($\overline{x} = 3.34$). There were no statistically significant differences found between "rumor" (F = 3.701, p > .05) and performing cyberbullying behaviors (F = 2.717, F > .05) with regards to grade as the variable.

4.2. Results for the cyber-victim dimension

Results of the *t*-test conducted on the *cyber-victim* dimension for the gender variable are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Level of being exposed to cyberbullying behaviors according to gender

Factor	Gender	n	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	SD	t	р
Sexual cyberbullying	Female	146	3.98	.501	.690	622
	Male	165	4.01	.492	.090	.622
Prevention &	Female	146	3.31	.618	-2.510	.001*
harming	Male	165	3.78	.540		
Rumor	Female	146	4.11	.498	-2.412	.003*
	Male	165	3.78	.529	-2.412	.005
Cyberbullying	Female	146	3.41	.399	.701	6EO
Behavior (Total)	Male	165	3.53	.456	.701	.650

^{*}p < .05 significant

According to Table 3, there are statistically significant differences between "prevention and harming" $(t = -2.510, p \le .05)$ and "rumor" $(t = -2.412, p \le .05)$ with regards to the gender variable. Male students $(\overline{x} = 3.78)$ are exposed to more "prevention and harming" within virtual platforms than female students $(\overline{x} = 3.31)$. In addition, female students $(\overline{x} = 4.11)$ perform "rumor" behaviors within virtual platforms more than male students $(\overline{x} = 3.78)$. There were no statistically significant differences found between being exposed to "sexual cyberbullying" in virtual platforms (t = .690, p > .05) and being exposed to cyberbullying behaviors (t = .701, p > .05) with regards to gender as the variable.

The states of high school adolescents being exposed to cyberbullying behaviors according to their grade level variable were compared by way of an ANOVA test, and the results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Levels of being exposed to cyberbullying behaviors according to grade

Factors	Grade	n	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	SD	F	р
Sexual cyberbullying	9	78	3.95	.595		
	10	75	3.98	.591	4.841	E11
	11	77	3.84	.656	4.041	.511
	12	81	3.76	.688		
Prevention &	9	78	3.66	.902		
	10	75	3.88	.877	14 100	.001*
harming	11	77	3.79	.881	14.100	.001
	12	81	4.21	.709		
Rumor	9	78	4.05	.735		
	10	75	4.29	.523	3.850	.240
	11	77	3.57	.620	3.650	.240
	12	81	4.09	.711		
Cyberbullying Behavior (Total)	9	78	3.57	.713		
	10	75	3.68	.751	12 000	001*
	11	77	3.91	.713	13.880	.001*
	12	81	4.08	.693		

^{*}p < .05 significant

There were statistically significant differences found between the "prevention and harming" behaviors within virtual platforms (F=14.100, $p \le .05$) and being exposed to cyberbullying behaviors (F=13.880, $p \le .05$), with regards to the grade level of the high school adolescents. Tukey's b test was used in order to determine by which grade level this difference was caused. According to the analysis, Grade 12 students are exposed to more "prevention and harming" behaviors ($\overline{x}=4.21$) than Grade 9 students ($\overline{x}=3.66$) within virtual platforms. According to the ANOVA test results, which was conducted according to the grade level variable, Grade 12 students ($\overline{x}=4.08$) are exposed to cyberbullying behaviors within virtual platforms more than Grade 9 students ($\overline{x}=3.57$). In addition, there were no statistically significant differences found between being exposed to "sexual cyberbullying" behaviors in virtual platforms (F=4.841, p>.05) and "rumor" behaviors (F=3.850, P>.05), with regards to the grade levels of the participant students.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The main of the present study was to investigate the levels of displaying cyberbullying behaviors and cyber-victimization of high school adolescents within virtual platforms. According to the analyses of data from the "cyberbully" dimension, there was a significant difference found to exist between the levels of high school adolescents performing cyberbullying behaviors with regards to the gender variable. Male students were found to perform cyberbullying behaviors in virtual platforms more than female students; a result compatible with other studies on this topic. For example, other studies have suggested that male students practice cyberbullying behaviors more than female students (Aricak et al., 2008; Ayas & Horzum, 2012; Brack & Caltabiano, 2014; Li, 2006). Furthermore, Pamuk and Bavlı (2013), in their study, revealed that male adolescents cyberbully more than female adolescents. Kowalski and Limber (2007) stated that females perform cyberbullying behaviors more than males. Some researchers have emphasized that gender-based cyberbullying represents a threat, especially for female teenagers (Mitchell, Wolak, & Finkelhor, 2008). Kristensen and Smith (2003) stated that female students who are exposed to cyberbullying react to this by crying. Salmivalli, Karhunen, and Lagerspetz (1996) emphasized that female teenagers who encounter cyberbullying behaviors feel hopeless in tackling this problem and have difficulty in finding a solution. Some researchers state that people with no or lacking self-confidence, or those experiencing problems in their personal lives perform cyberbullying behaviors more (Hoff & Mitchell, 2009; Smith et al., 2008). Also, according to the results of the current study, a significant difference was found to exist between performing "sexual cyberbullying" and "rumor" behaviors in virtual platforms with regards to gender. This shows that male students perform sexual cyberbullying behaviors in virtual platforms more than female students. Also, there were no significant differences found in the current study in terms of performing "prevention and harming" behaviors with regards to the gender variable.

Levels of performing cyberbullying were examined according to the high school adolescents' grade levels. A significant difference was found to exist between students performing "sexual cyberbullying" behaviors in virtual platforms and "prevention and harming" behaviors. According to this result, Grade 10 students perform "sexual cyberbullying" behaviors in virtual platforms more than Grade 9 students. Grade 12 students also perform more "prevention and harming" behaviors more than Grade 10 students in virtual platforms. Campbell (2005) stated that as teenagers grow older, they become more informed about computers and that this triggers their cyberbullying experiences. However, in the study carried out by Erişti and Akbulut (2017), it was revealed that the level of being a victim of cyberbullying is at a critical level among high school adolescents when compared to university students. Hoff and Mitchell's (2009) study on this subject showed that teenagers do not inform school officials (e.g., their teachers) about the bullying they encounter. Perren et al. (2012) stated that seeking help from parents or school officials is resorted to in tackling with cyberbullying in schools. In addition, there were no significant differences found to exist in the current study between "rumor" and performing cyberbullying behaviors in virtual platforms with regards to the grade level variable.

According to the analyses performed for the "cyber-victim" dimension, there were no significant differences found to exist between "prevention and harming" behaviors in virtual platforms and "rumor" behaviors with regards to the gender variable. According to the data, male students are exposed to "prevention and harming" more than female students.

However, in their study, Williams and Guerra (2007) found no differences in the participation in cyberbullying behaviors between females and males. In a study conducted by Hamm et al. (2015), the results indicated the most common cause of cyberbullying to be relationship problems, and that female children suffered from bullying more than male children. In addition, Li (2007) and Mesch (2009) both stated that the majority of cyberbullying victims were found to be female teenagers. Some studies put forward that victimized female students tend to interiorize the situation and to seek social help, while male students tend to externalize the situation (Causey & Dubow, 1992; Karakose & Kocabas, 2009; Kristensen & Smith, 2003; Sekerci & Canpolat, 2014). Another study suggested that when students are exposed to cyberbullying behaviors, they tend to avoid the problem or seek help from their friends (Mora-Merchán, 2006). According to results of the current study, female students tend to perform "rumor" behaviors in virtual platforms more than male students. Agatston, Kowalski, and Limber's (2007) study resulted in similar findings and suggested, with females performing cyberbullying more than males. Another study emphasized that females perform cyberbullying behaviors in order to seek revenge (Smith et al., 2008), whilst some claim that teenagers tackle cyberbullying by "blocking" bullies and steering clear of Internet chat rooms (Juvonen & Gross, 2008). In this study, there are no significant differences between female high school adolescents exposed to cyberbullying behaviors and performing them with regards to gender. However, some studies have demonstrated that male students become more annoyed by cyberbullying behaviors than do female students (Hoff & Mitchell, 2010). In a study by Huitsing and Veenstra (2012), it was found that not only the victims, but also the cyberbullies themselves seek and find support in the class. Some studies pointed out that both female and male victims do not know who performed the cyberbullying behaviors against them (Dehue et al., 2008; Li, 2008; Slonje & Smith, 2008).

The levels of high school adolescents being exposed to cyberbullying were examined in the current study according to their school grade level, and a significant difference was found to exist between the "prevention and harming" behaviors in virtual platforms and being exposed to cyberbullying. According to the results, Grade 12 students are exposed to "prevention and harming" behaviors more in virtual platforms, and are also exposed to cyberbullying behaviors more than Grade 9 students. However, some researchers have emphasized that young teenagers are more vulnerable to cyberbullying, being less experienced with the Internet, can thereby surrender to threats more easily, and are therefore more exposed to cyberbullying (Akbulut, Sahin, & Eristi, 2010; Dehue et al., 2008; Williams & Guerra, 2007). Some studies have stated that teenagers tend to share the cyberbullying experience with their friends rather than with their parents (Dehue et al., 2008; Juvonen & Gross, 2008; Patchin & Hinduja, 2006). In another study conducted by Kalender et al. (2019), it was stated that students exposed to cyberbullying mention it to their parents, friends, and to their teachers. Kristensen and Smith (2003) pointed out that teenagers prefer seeking social help against and withdrawing from cyberbullying behaviors; but that as their age advances, they tend to develop more effective solutions in tackling cyberbullying. Another study suggested that a solution that teenagers often adopt in tackling cyberbullying is blocking the bully and keeping away from chat rooms (Juvonen & Gross, 2008; Salmivalli et al., 1996). In addition, the current study found no significant differences existed between students exposure to "sexual cyberbullying" behaviors in virtual platforms and performing "rumor" behaviors. Some studies on this issue put forth that as females grow older, they tend to be less involved in cyberbullying behaviors (Agatson et al., 2007). It is evident that misusing information and communication technologies, in other words, using them for bad intentions can result in cyberbullying.

It is pointed out that effective preventive and intervention programs must be developed in order to fight cyberbullying in schools (Guo, 2016). With cyberbullying causing harmful effects to children and teenagers in schools, the reasons for and outcomes of cyberbullying should be precisely identified and appropriate precautionary deterrent measures adopted. For this purpose, school administrators and other school officials such as teaching staff should receive the required training in order to increase their awareness of cyberbullying and the most appropriate actions that can be taken in this situation.

Victimized students often feel themselves to be lonely and helpless in their struggle with the problem of cyberbullying. Thus, in order to provide the necessary and appropriate social and psychological support to victimized students, "cyberbullying prevention units" should be established that are directly subordinate to the school's administration. In all cases of cyberbullying, students should be able to share their concerns direct with the cyberbullying prevention unit at their school without hesitation, and thereby receive the necessary support in helping them to resolve the problem.

Cyberbullying negatively affects the reputation of not only the students involved and their families, but also the reputation of the school itself. In schools where cyberbullying is present, there will always be a chance that students will become involved. Teaching and training activities in schools are carried out within the school-family-environment triangle, meaning that it is crucial to foster school-family-environment collaboration in order to effectively tackle cyberbullying. The primary role of the family here is to enhance their competencies in information and communication technologies and to always openly communicate with their children.

5.1. Limitation and Future Research Guidelines

The current study was limited to the views of students studying at sports high schools in Kutahya/Usak in Turkey. Generalizing the results of the study for all adolescents might not be the right approach; however, this research is thought to contribute to the literature as one of a rare number of studies in this field. In future studies, cyberbullying might be examined according to various sample groups in order to discover the role of friends and classroom norms in virtual environments in the case of cyberbullying.

DECLARATIONS

Author Contributions The authors contributed equally to the current research with both data collection and data analysis. All authors have read and approved the published final version of the article.

Conflicts of Interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Provincial Directorate of National Education, the Republic of Turkey Kutahya Governorship. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Funding This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Acat, B. (2019). The relationship between religiosity, values, internet addiction and life satisfaction among adolescents (Master's Thesis). Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Agatston, P. W., Kowalski, R., & Limber, S. (2007). Students' perspectives on cyberbullying. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *41*(6, Suppl), 59-60.
- Akbulut, Y., Sahin, Y. L., & Eristi, B. (2010). Cyberbullying Victimization Among Turkish Online Social Utility Members. *Educational Technology & Society, 13* (4), 192-201.
- Altındas, M. E. (2009). A study on the aims, goals and expectations of sport high schools in *Turkey* (Master's Thesis). Dumlupinar University, Kutahya, Turkey.
- Aricak, T., Siyahhan, S., Uzunhasanoglu, A., Saribeyoglu, S., Ciplak, S., Yilmaz, N., & Memmedov, C. (2008). Cyberbullying among Turkish adolescents. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 11(3), 253-261.
- Ayas, T., & Horzum, M. B. (2010). Cyber bully/victim scale development study. *Akademik Bakış*, 19, 1-17.
- Ayas, T., & Horzum, M. B. (2012). On being cyber bully and victim among primary school students. *Elementary Education Online*, *11*(2), 369-380.
- Aykac, N., & Bilgin, H. (2019). Pedagogical Analysis of the Role Models Chosen by High School Students. *Üniversitepark Bülten, 8*(1), 63-75.
- Barbovschi, M., Macháčková, H., & Ólafsson, K. (2015). Underage use of social network sites: It's about friends. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 18*(6), 328-332.
- Beran, T., & Li, Q. (2005). Cyber-harassment: A study of a new method for an old behavior. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 32(3), 265-277.
- Bhat, C. S. (2008). Cyber bullying: Overview and strategies for school counsellors, guidance officers, and all school personnel. *Australian Journal of Guidance & Counselling*, 18(1), 53-66.
- Brack, K., & Caltabiano, N. (2014). Cyberbullying and self-esteem in Australian adults. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace, 8*(2), article 7. http://dx.doi.org/10.5817/CP2014-2-7
- Buelga, S., Postigo, J., Martínez-Ferrer, B., Cava, M.-J., & Ortega-Barón, J. (2020). Cyberbullying among Adolescents: Psychometric Properties of the CYB-AGS Cyber-Aggressor Scale. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(9), 3090.
- Cakıcı, D. (2010). The analysis of social self-efficacy and self-esteem levels of ninth and tenth grade sports and general high school students (Master's Thesis). Mersin University, Turkey.
- Campbell, M. (2005). Cyber bullying: an old problem in a new guise? *Australian Journal of Guidance and Counselling*, 15(1), 68-76.
- Causey, D. L., & Dubow, E. F. (1992). Development of a self-report coping measure for elementary school children. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 21(1), 47-59.
- Celen, F. K., Çelik, A., & Seferoğlu, S. S. (2016). Investigation of secondary school students' cyberbullying and internet aggression in terms of various variables. In *25th National Educational Sciences Congress (UEBK 2016), Antalya, Abstracts Book* (pp. 27-28).
- Chan, H. C. O. & Wong, S. W. D. (2015). The overlap between school bullying perpetration and victimization: Assessing the psychological, familial, and school factors of Chinese adolescents in Hong Kong. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 24(11), 3224-3234.

- Cicioğlu, M. (2014). Students' opinions about problematic internet use and cyber bullying behaviors (Master's Thesis). Abant İzzet Baysal University, Bolu, Turkey.
- Dehue, F., Bolman, C., & Völlink, T. (2008). Cyberbullying: Youngsters' experiences and parental perception. *Cyber-Psychology & Behavior*, *11*(2), 217-223.
- Erişti, B., & Akbulut, Y. (2017). Reactions to cyberbullying among high school and university students. *The Social Science Journal*, *56*(1), 10-20.
- Flaspohler, P. D., Elfstrom, J. L., Vanderzee, K. L., Sink, H. E., & Birchmeier, Z. (2009). Stand by me: The effects of peer and teacher support in mitigating the impact of bullying on quality of life. *Psychology in the Schools*, *46*(7), 636-649.
- Gardner, M. C. (2010). *Principals' perceptions of cyberbullying policies in selected Florida middle schools* (Unpublished Doctoral dissertation). University of Central Florida.
- Gozler, A. (2021). Determination of Democracy Awareness Levels of Teacher Candidates. *International Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, *13*(2), 592-603.
- Görzig, A., & Frumkin, L. A. (2013). Cyberbullying experiences on-the-go: When social media can become distressing. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace*, 7(1), article 4.
- Gross, E. F. (2004) Adolescent internet use. What we expect, what teens report? *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 25(6), 633-649.
- Guo, S. Y. (2016). A meta-analysis of the predictors of cyberbullying perpetration and victimization. *Psychology in the Schools*, *53*(4), 432-453.
- Hamm, M. P., Newton, A. S., Chisholm, A., Shulhan, J., Milne, A., Sundar, P.,...Hartling, L. (2015). Prevalence and effect of cyberbullying on children and young people: a scoping review of social media studies. *JAMA Pediatrics*, *169*(8), 770-777.
- Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2008). Cyberbullying: An exploratory analysis of factors related to offending and victimization. *Deviant Behavior*, 29(2), 129-156.
- Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2009). *Bullying beyond the schoolyard: Preventing and responding to cyberbullying.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Hoff, D. L., & Mitchell, S. N. (2009). Cyberbullying: Causes, effects, and remedies. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 47(5), 652-665.
- Hoff, D. L., & Mitchell, S. N. (2010). Gender and cyber-bullying: how do we know what we know? In S. Shariff & A. H. Churchill (Eds.), *Truths and myths of cyber-bullying: International perspectives on stake- holder responsibility and children's safety.* New York, NY: Lang.
- Huitsing, G., & Veenstra, R. (2012). Bullying in classrooms: participant roles from a social network perspective. *Aggressive Behavior*, *38*(6),494-509.
- Inan, F. A., Namin, A. S., Pogrund, R. L., & Jones, K. S. (2016). Internet Use and Cybersecurity Concerns of Individuals with Visual Impairments. *Educational Technology & Society*, 19(1), 28-40.
- Inselöz, N., & Uçanok, Z. (2013). Cyberbullying in Adolescents: A Qualitative Analysis of Causes, Emotions, and Coping Strategies. *Turkish Psychological Articles*, 16(32), 45-49.
- Juvonen, J., & Gross, E. F. (2008). Extending the school grounds? Bullying experiences in cyberspace. *Journal of School Health*, *78*(9), 496-505.
- Kalender, K. M., Keser, H., & Tugun, V. (2019). Middle and High School Students' Opinions, Experiences and Responses Regarding to Cyberbullying. *Education and Science*, 44(198), 183-200.

- Karakose, T. (2007). High school teachers' perceptions towards principals' ethical leadership in Turkey. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 8(3), 464-477.
- Karakose, T. (2008). The perceptions of primary school teachers on principal cultural leadership behaviors. *Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice*, 8(2), 555-579.
- Karakose T. (2015). The relationship between medical students' time management skills and academic achievement. *Studies on Ethno-Medicine*, *9*(1): 19-24.
- Karakose, T., & Kocabas, I. (2009). An investigation on ethical culture in educational organizations. *African Journal of Business Management*, *3*(10), 504-510.
- Karakose, T., Polat, H., & Papadakis, S. (2021). Examining Teachers' Perspectives on School Principals' Digital Leadership Roles and Technology Capabilities during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Sustainability*, 13(23), 13448.
- Karakose, T., Yirci, R., Kocabas, I. (2014). A qualitative study of the novice principals' problems in the school management process and solutions. *Pakistan Journal of Statistics*, 30(6), 1365-1378.
- Karakose, T., Yirci, R., Uygun, H., & Ozdemir, T. Y. (2016). Relationship between High School Students' Facebook Addiction and Loneliness Status. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 12(9), 2419–2429.
- Karasar, N. (1999). *Scientific research methods concepts, principles, and techniques*. Ankara, Turkey: Nobel.
- Keith, S., & Martin, M. E. (2005). Cyberbullying: Creating a new culture of respect in a cyberworld. *Reclaiming Children and Youth, 13*(4), 224-228.
- Kildow, N. M. (2008). *Cyberbullying: When peer bullying moves from the classroom to the home* (Unpublished Master's thesis). University of Wisconsin.
- Kocabas, I., & Karakose, T. (2009). Ethics in school administration. *African Journal of Business Management*, *3*(4), 126-130.
- Kowalski, R. M., Giumetti, G. W., Schroeder, A. N., & Lattanner, M. R. (2014). Bullying in the digital age: a critical review and meta-analysis of cyberbullying research among youth. *Psychological Bulletin*, *140*(4), 1073-1137.
- Kowalski R. M., & Limber S. P. (2007). Electronic bullying among middle school students. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 41*(6, Suppl), 22-30.
- Kowalski, R. M., & Limber, S. P. (2012). Psychological, physical, and academic correlates of cyberbullying and traditional bullying. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *53*(1, Suppl), 13-20.
- Kristensen, S. M., & Smith, P. K. (2003). The use of coping strategies by Danish children classed as bullies, victims, bully/victims, and not involved, in response to different (hypothetical) types of bullying. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, *44*(5), 479-488.
- Kwan, I., Dickson, K., Richardson, M., MacDowall, W., Burchett, H., Stansfield, C.,...Thomas, J. (2020). Cyberbullying and Children and Young People's Mental Health: A Systematic Map of Systematic Reviews. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 23(2), 72-82.
- Lee, J. M., Hong, J. S., Yoon, J., Peguero, A. A., & Seok, H. J. (2018). Correlates of adolescent cyberbullying in South Korea in multiple contexts: a review of the literature and implications for research and school practice. *Deviant Behavior*, 39(3), 293-308.
- Li, Q. (2006). Cyberbullying in schools: a research of gender differences. *School Psychology International*, *27*(2), 157-170.
- Li, Q. (2007). New bottle but old wine: a research of cyberbullying in schools. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23(4), 1777-1791.

- Li, Q. (2008). A cross-cultural comparison of adolescents' experience related to cyberbullying. *Educational Research*, *50*(3), 223-234.
- Mesch, G. S. (2009). Parental mediation, online activities, and cyberbullying. *Cyberpsychology and Behavior*, *12*(4), 387-393.
- Mitchell, K. J., Wolak, J., & Finkelhor, D. (2008). Are blogs putting youth at risk for online sexual solicitation or harassment? *Child Abuse & Neglect*, *32*(2), 277-294.
- Mora-Merchán, J. A. (2006). Coping strategies: mediators of long-term effects in victims of bullying? *Annuary of Clinical and Health Psychology*, *2*, 15-25.
- Musu-Gillette, L., Hansen, R., Chandler, K., & Snyder, T. (2015, May 01). Measuring student safety: Bullying rates at school. *NCES Blog, National Center for Education Statistics*Retrieved from https://nces.ed.gov/blogs/nces/post/measuring-student-safety-bullying-rates-at-school
- Ortega, R., Elipe, P., Mora-Merchán, J. A., Calmaestra, J., & Vega, E. (2009). The emotional impact on victims of traditional bullying and cyberbullying: A Study of Spanish Adolescents. *Journal of Psychology*, *217*(4), 197-204.
- Ozdemir, M., & Akar, F. (2011). Examination of High School Students' Opinions on Cyberbullying in Terms of Various Variables. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 17(4), 605-626.
- Palfrey, J., & Gasser, U. (2008). Born Digital: Understanding the First Generation of Digital Natives. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Pamuk, M., & Bavlı, B. (2013). An investigation of adolescents' cyberbullying according to some variables. *Ondokuz Mayis University Journal of Education Faculty, 32*(1), 321-338.
- Patchin, J. W., & Hinduja. S. (2006). Bullies move beyond the schoolyard: A preliminary look at cyberbullying. *Youth Violence and Juvenile Justice*, *4*(2), 148-169.
- Peker, A., Eroğlu, Y., & Çitemel, N. (2012). Relationship of submissive behavior and cyberbullying/cybervictimization: The mediation role of gender. *International Journal of Human Sciences*, *9*(1), 205-221.
- Perren, S., Corcoran, L., Cowie, H., Dehue, F., Garcia, D. J., Mc Guckin, C.,...Völlink, T. (2012). Tackling cyberbullying: Review of empirical evidence regarding successful responses by students, parents, and schools. *International Journal of Conflict and Violence*, 6(2), 283-293.
- Raskauskas, J., & Stoltz, A. D. (2007). Involvement in traditional and electronic bullying among adolescents. *Developmental Psychology*, *43*(3), 564-575.
- Salmivalli, C. (2010). Bullying and the peer group: A review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 15(2), 112-120.
- Salmivalli, C., Karhunen, J., & Lagerspetz, K. M. J. (1996). How do the victims respond to bullying? *Aggressive Behavior*, 22(2), 99-109.
- Saricam, H., & Sahin, S. H. (2015). The Relationship between the Environmental Awareness, Environmental Attitude, Curiosity and Exploration in Highly Gifted Students: Structural Equation Modelling. *Educational Process: International Journal, 4*(1-2), 7-17.
- Schneider, S. K., O'Donnell, L., Stueve, A., & Coulter, R. W. S. (2012). Cyberbullying, school bullying, and psychological distress: A regional census of high school students. *American Journal of Public Health*, 102(1), 171-177.

- Sekerci, A. R., & Canpolat, N. (2014). Impact of Argumentation in the Chemistry Laboratory on Conceptual Comprehension of Turkish Students. *Educational Process: International Journal, 3*(1-2), 19-34.
- Semerci, A. (2015). Examining middle school students' views on text bullying. *Education and Information Technologies*, 21(6), 1807-1819.
- Serin, H. (2012). Cyber bullying and cyber victimization experiences of adolescents and the views of educators and managers related to these issues (Unpublished Doctoral dissertation). Istanbul University, Turkey.
- Shariff, S. (2009). *Confronting cyber-bullying: What schools need to know to control misconduct and avoid legal consequences?* New York, NY: Cambridge University.
- Shieh, C. J., & Demirkol, M. (2014). Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Social Networks and their Usage by High School Students. *Educational Process: International Journal*, *3*(1-2), 7-18.
- Slonje, R., & Smith, P. K. (2008). Cyber-bullying: Another main type of bullying? *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 49(2), 147-154.
- Smith P. K., Mahdavi, J., Carvalho, M., Fisher, S., Russell, S., & Tippett, N. (2008). Cyberbullying: Its nature and impact in secondary school pupils. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 49(4), 376-385.
- Sourander, A., Klomek, A. B., Ikonen, M., Lindroos, J., Luntamo, T., Koskelainen, M.,...Helenius, H. (2010). Psychosocial risk factors associated with cyberbullying among adolescents. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *67*(7), 720-728.
- Vandebosch, H., & Van Cleemput, K. (2008). Defining cyberbullying: A qualitative research into the perceptions of youngsters. *Cyber Psychology & Behavior*, 11(4), 499-503.
- Willard, N. E. (2007). *Cyberbullying and cyberthreats: Responding to the challenge of online social aggression, threats, and distress.* Research Press.
- Williams, K., & Guerra, N. G. (2007). Prevalence and predictors of internet bullying. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *41*(6, Suppl 1), 14-21.
- Yaman, E., Karaküllah, D., & Dilmaç, B. (2013). Two Important Variables that Predict Values of Secondary School Students: Relationship Between Cyber-Bullying Tendencies and School Culture. *Journal of Values Education*, 11(26), 323-337.
- Yirci, R., Karakose, T., Uygun, H., & Ozdemir, T. Y. (2016). Turkish Adaptation of the Mentorship Effectiveness Scale: A validity and Reliability Study. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 12(4), 821-832.
- Ybarra, M. L., & Mitchell, K. J. (2004). Youth engaging in online harassment: Associations with caregiver-child relationships, internet use, and personal characteristics. *Journal of Adolescence*, 27(3), 319-336.

ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS

Ramazan Yirci is an Associate Professor at KSU Faculty of Education. Before this position, he worked as a teacher at public and private schools for five years. He received his PhD. degree on educational management. His research interests include teacher training, school management and mentoring in education.

E-mail: ryirci@gmail.com

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4696-7420

Turgut Karakose, PhD, is Professor of Educational Administration in the Kutahya Dumlupinar University. He is also Editor-in-Chief of Educational Process International Journal. His main research interests include educational leadership and administration, reputation management, school administration, psychology, and human behavior. He has published extensively in leading international journals and also authored books and chapters on education/management.

E-mail: turgut.karakose@dpu.edu.tr

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0346-8154

Nedim Malkoc is an Associate Professor at the Department of Exercise and Sport Sciences, University of Health Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey.

E-mail: nedimmalkoc82@gmail.com

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4599-3547

Publisher's Note: ÜNİVERSİTEPARK Limited remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.